**Climate Change Summary, Hampton National Historic Site, Maryland**

Patrick Gonzalez

Natural Resource Stewardship and Science, U.S. National Park Service, Washington, DC

January 14, 2015

---

## Climate Trends for the Area within Park Boundaries

- Average annual temperature has increased at a statistically significant rate since 1950 (Table 1, Figure 1). Summer (June-August) temperature has increased at the greatest rate.
- Average total precipitation has increased since 1950, but the rate has not been statistically significant (Table 1, Figure 2). Autumn (September-November) precipitation has increased at a statistically significant rate of 47 ± 20% per century.
- If the world does not reduce emissions from power plants, cars, and deforestation by 40-70%, models project substantial warming and increases in precipitation (Table 1, Figure 3).
- The greatest temperature and precipitation increases could occur in autumn (September-November).
- Projections under the highest emissions scenario project 15-18 more days per year with a maximum temperature >35ºC (95ºF.) and an increase in 20-year storms (a storm with more precipitation than any other storm in 20 years) to once every 5-6 years (Walsh et al. 2014).

## Historical Impact in the Region

- Analyses of Audubon Christmas Bird Count data across the United States, including counts in Maryland, detected a northward shift of winter ranges of a set of 254 bird species at an average rate of 0.5 ± 0.3 km per year from 1975 to 2004, attributable to human climate change and not other factors (La Sorte and Thompson 2007).

## Future Vulnerabilities in the Region

- Numerous flowering trees in the Baltimore-Washington metropolitan area have shown statistically significant earlier blooming dates since 1970 and warmer winter and spring temperatures could continue the earlier blooming (Abu-Asab et al. 2001).
- Under high emissions scenarios, climate change could expand the potential combined ranges of 100 invasive plant and animal species, including in the Mid-Atlantic (Bellard et al. 2013).

**Table 1**. Historical rates of change per century and projected future changes in annual average temperature and annual total precipitation (data Daly et al. 2008, IPCC 2013; analysis Wang et al. in preparation). The table gives the historical rate of change per century calculated from data for the period 1950-2013. Because a rate of change per century is given, the absolute change for the 1950-2013 period will be approximately 60% of that rate. The table gives central values for the park as a whole. Figures 1-3 show the uncertainties.

| | **1950-2013** | **2000-2050** | **2000-2100** |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Historical** | | | |
| temperature | +1.2ºC/century (2.2ºF./century) | | |
| precipitation | +17%/century | | |
| **Projected (compared to 1971-2000)** | | | |
| Low emissions (IPCC RCP 4.5) | | | |
| temperature | | +2.2ºC (+4ºF.) | +2.9ºC (+5.2ºF.) |
| precipitation | | +8% | +10% |
| High emissions (IPCC RCP 6.0) | | | |
| temperature | | +1.9ºC (+3.4ºF.) | +3.3ºC (+5.9ºF.) |
| precipitation | | +7% | +11% |
| Highest emissions (IPCC RCP 8.5) | | | |
| temperature | | +2.8ºC (+5ºF.) | +5ºC (+9ºF.) |
| precipitation | | +9% | +14% |

**Figure 1**. Historical annual average temperature for the area within park boundaries. Note that the U.S. weather station network was more stable for the period starting 1950 than for the period starting 1895. (Data: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Daly et al. 2008. Analysis: Wang et al. in preparation, University of Wisconsin and U.S. National Park Service).



**Figure 2**. Historical annual total precipitation for the area within park boundaries. Note that the U.S. weather station network was more stable for the period starting 1950 than for the period starting 1895. (Data: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Daly et al. 2008. Analysis: Wang et al. in preparation, University of Wisconsin and U.S. National Park Service).



**Figure 3**. Projections of future climate for the area within park boundaries. Each small dot is the output of a single climate model. The large color dots are the average values for the four IPCC emissions scenarios. The lines are the standard deviations of each average value. (Data: IPCC 2013, Daly et al. 2008; Analysis: Wang et al. in preparation, University of Wisconsin and U.S. National Park Service).



## References


Abu-Asab, M.S., P. M. Peterson, S.G. Shetler, and S.S. Orli. 2001. Earlier plant flowering in spring as a response to global warming in the Washington, DC, area. Biodiversity and Conservation 10: 597-612.Bellard, C., W. Thuiller, B. Leroy, P. Genovesi, M. Bakkenes, and F. Courchamp. 2013. Will climate change promote future invasions? Global Change Biology 19: 3740–3748.

Daly, C., M. Halbleib, J.I. Smith, W.P. Gibson, M.K. Doggett, G.H. Taylor, J. Curtis, and P.P. Pasteris. 2008. Physiographically sensitive mapping of climatological temperature and precipitation across the conterminous United States. International Journal of Climatology 28: 2031-2064.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

La Sorte, F.A. and F.R. Thompson. 2007. Poleward shifts in winter ranges of North American birds. Ecology 88: 1803-1812.

Walsh, J., D. Wuebbles, K. Hayhoe, J. Kossin, K. Kunkel, G. Stephens, P. Thorne, R. Vose, M. Wehner, and J. Willis. 2014. Our changing climate. In Melillo, J.M., T.C. Richmond, and G. W. Yohe (Eds.) Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC.

Wang, F., P. Gonzalez, M. Notaro, D. Vimont, and J.W. Williams. in preparation. Significant historical and projected climate change in U.S. national parks.